

**NOTICE: THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT
AND MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE
RULES. See Ariz. R. Supreme Court 111(c); ARCAP 28(c); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.**

FILED BY CLERK

JULY 31 2008

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
STATE OF ARIZONA
DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,

Appellee,

v.

JESUS RAUL LEON FLORES,

Appellant.

2 CA-CR 2007-0334

DEPARTMENT A

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Not for Publication

Rule 111, Rules of
the Supreme Court

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PIMA COUNTY

Cause No. CR-20063234

Honorable Richard S. Fields, Judge

AFFIRMED

R. Lamar Couser

Tucson
Attorney for Appellant

H O W A R D, Presiding Judge.

¶1 Appellant Jesus Raul Leon Flores was convicted following a jury trial of second-degree burglary, third-degree burglary, and theft by control. The state alleged Flores previously had been convicted of eight felonies. Finding that Flores had two or more

historical prior felony convictions, the trial court imposed enhanced, concurrent, presumptive sentences on the burglary convictions, the longer of which was 11.25 years, and time served on the theft conviction. Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Leon*, 104 Ariz. 297, 451 P.2d 878 (1969). Flores has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶2 Counsel states he has reviewed the record without finding any arguably meritorious issues for appeal and has asked us to review the record for fundamental error. We have done so and have found no error that can be so characterized. There was sufficient evidence to support the jury's findings of guilt. *See* A.R.S. §§ 13-1506, 13-1507, 13-1802(A)(1). Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for fundamental, reversible error and have found none. Therefore, we affirm the convictions and sentences.

JOSEPH W. HOWARD, Presiding Judge

CONCURRING:

J. WILLIAM BRAMMER, JR., Judge

GARYE L. VÁSQUEZ, Judge